

PW #2: 2nd-order ordinary differential equations

MARCH 7, 2012

This work is devoted to the resolution of the 1D Poisson equation:

$$-u''(x) = f(x). \quad (1)$$

f is a given function over $[0, 1]$. We shall consider two kinds of boundary conditions. First of all, we shall investigate the case of a Cauchy problem where (1) is supplemented with:

$$u(0) = 1, \quad u'(0) = \alpha. \quad (2)$$

Then, we shall focus on the Dirichlet problem:

$$u(0) = 1, \quad u(1) = 0. \quad (3)$$

For all the simulations, we set: $f(x) = e^x$. Given an integer N , the interval is discretized as $x_i = (i - 1)\Delta x$, $1 \leq i \leq N + 1$, $\Delta x = \frac{1}{N}$.

Exercise 1

Write out a program solving (1-2) by means of the finite difference method for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Exercise 2

We now aim at solving (1-3).

1. Write out the linear system corresponding to the unknowns $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N+1})$.
2. What does the system become when taking only (u_1, \dots, u_N) into account?
3. Using the `\` function of MATLAB, implement the resolution of the equation for the two previous cases. Do you notice any difference as N grows?
4. Plot the numerical solutions for various N .

Exercise 3

We propose an alternative method for solving (1-3) called the shooting method. It consists in finding the suitable α in (2) which matches the condition (3). More precisely, using the algorithm from **Exercise 1**, start with $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = -1$. For each value, compare the resulting u_{N+1} to the value prescribed by (3). Apply the bisection method to update α_n at each step.

The bisection method for finding the zero of a function consists in dividing by 2 the interval in which we are looking for the solution. In this case, we are intending to find α such that $u_\alpha(1) = 0$. Thus given α_0 and $\alpha_1 > \alpha_0$ such that $u_{\alpha_0}(1) < 0$ and $u_{\alpha_1}(1) > 0$, set $I = [\alpha_0, \alpha_1]$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{2}$.

1. Compute $u_{\alpha_2}(1)$:

(a) If $u_{\alpha_2}(1) < 0$, then $I = [\alpha_2, \alpha_1]$ and $\alpha_3 = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}$;

(b) If $u_{\alpha_2}(1) > 0$, then $I = [\alpha_0, \alpha_2]$ and $\alpha_3 = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_2}{2}$;

2. Iterate.

Exercise 4

We investigate in this last exercise an iterative method to solve the linear system $\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{f}}$ derived in Exercise 2.2. Let D be the diagonal matrix with coefficients 2. Let E be the sparse matrix whose entries are $E_{i,i-1} = 1$. Then $\mathbf{A} = D - E - {}^t E$.

1. The equality $\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{f}}$ also reads $D\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{f}} + E\bar{\mathbf{u}} + {}^t E\bar{\mathbf{u}}$. Hence the iterative Jacobi method:

$$D\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = \bar{\mathbf{f}} + E\bar{\mathbf{u}}^n + {}^t E\bar{\mathbf{u}}^n.$$

Implement this method with different stopping criteria.

2. The equality $\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{f}}$ may also be written $(D + E)\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{f}} + {}^t E\bar{\mathbf{u}}$. Hence the iterative Gauss-Seidel method:

$$(D + E)\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = \bar{\mathbf{f}} + {}^t E\bar{\mathbf{u}}^n.$$

Implement this method and compare the number of iterations that this method required to reach the criterion to the one using Jacobi.